

Cal/Ecotox
Exposure Factors for Kit Fox (*Vulpes macrotis*)*

Page 1

| Endpoint Type | Endpoint Value | Error | Range | Units | Sex | Life Stage | Location | Note | Reference |
|------------------------|---|-------|---------|-------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----------|
| Age at Sexual Maturity | 22 | | | mo | B | Adult | Kern; CA | a | 1 |
| Body Weight - Mean | 1820 | | | g | B | Adult | AZ | b | 2 |
| Body Weight - Mean | | | 4.4-5.6 | lbs | F | Adult | Kern; CA | c | 3 |
| Body Weight - Mean | 1936 | 64 SE | | g | F | Adult | Kern; CA | d | 4 |
| Body Weight - Mean | 4.2 | | 3.6-4.6 | lbs | F | Adult | UT | e | 5 |
| Body Weight - Mean | | | 3.8-5.5 | lbs | M | Adult | Kern; CA | f | 3 |
| Body Weight - Mean | 2034 | 78 SE | | g | M | Adult | Kern; CA | g | 4 |
| Body Weight - Mean | 4.55 | | 3.8-5.5 | lbs | M | Adult | UT | h | 5 |
| Clutch or Litter Size | | | 3-5 | pups | F | Adult | Kern; CA | i | 3 |
| Clutch or Litter Size | 2.5 | | 2-4 | pups | F | Adult | UT | j | 6 |
| Clutch or Litter Size | | | 2.75-5 | pups | F | Adult | UT | k | 7 |
| Clutch or Litter Size | 4.1 | | | pups | F | Adult | Kern; CA | l | 1 |
| Clutch or Litter Size | | | 1-3 | pups | F | Adult | San Luis Obispo; CA | m | 8 |
| Clutch or Litter Size | | | 4-5 | pups/litter | F | Adult | UT | n | 5 |
| Dietary Composition | black-tailed hare (61.4%), cottontail rabbit (7.7%); also Ord's kangaroo rat, deer mouse, pocket mouse, horned lark, unident. passerine, beetle | | | | B | Adult | UT | o | 6 |
| Dietary Composition | deer mice (10.8%), kangaroo rats (8.4%), pocket gophers (2.9%), pocket mice (20.0%), woodrats (0.6%), ground squirrels (6.4%), birds (7.5%), insects (33.5%), leporids (4.7%), reptiles (2.0%), | | | % | B | Adult | San Luis Obispo; CA | p | 9 |
| Dietary Composition | insects (1.3%), Hermann's kangaroo rat (19.6%), California vole (31.5%), deer mouse (14.4%), California ground squirrel (24.4%), Audubon's cottontail (12.0%), unident. plant material (0.7%) | | | % | B | Both Adult and Juv. | Merced; CA | q | 10 |
| Dietary Composition | Spermophilus beecheyi (35%), soluble material (16.6%), grasshoppers/crickets (12.9%), lagomorphs (12.2%), kangaroo rats (9.1%), miscellaneous (10.2%), deer mice/wood rats (7.7%), birds (5.2%), beetles/weevils (3.5%), vegetation (2.8%), snakes (2.0%), pocket mice (0.8%), pocket gophers (0.5%), lizards (0.2%) | | | % | B | Both Adult and Juv. | Monterey; San Luis Obispo; CA | r | 11 |
| Dietary Composition | lagomorphs (73.3%), kangaroo rats (13.1%), San Joaquin antelope ground squirrel (2.9%), San Joaquin kit fox (2.8%), Cricetidae (1.3%), Heteromyidae (0.8%), San Joaquin pocket mouse (0.7%), California ground squirrel (0.6%), southern grasshopper mouse (0.5%), sheep (0.5%), Botta pocket gopher (0.3%), birds (1.4%), snakes (1.2%), lizards (0.1%), | | | % | B | Both Adult and Juv. | Kern; CA | s | 12 |

Exposure Factors for Kit Fox (Vulpes macrotis)

Page 2

| Endpoint Type | Endpoint Value | Error | Range | Units | Sex | Life Stage | Location | Note | Reference |
|---------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-----|------------|----------------|------|-----------|
| Dietary Composition | grasshoppers and crickets (6.2%), beetles (1.0%), arthropods (0.2%), grass (1.7%), soluble material (5.2%), other (9.1%) | | | | | | | | |
| Dietary Composition | Kangaroo rats (80.7%), rabbits (46.2%), squirrels (9.6%), cottontails (5.8%), pocket mouse (1.9%), unident. insects (59.6%), scorpions (17.3%), Jerusalem crickets (13.5%), insect larvae (11.5%), ants (9.6%), grasshoppers (5.8%), beetles (3.8%), spiders (1.9%) | | | % | B | NR | Kern; CA | t | 3 |
| Dietary Composition | Jerusalem crickets (27%), unident. birds (2%), rabbits (3%), California ground squirrel (35%), pocket mice (2%), western harvest mice (17%), Peromyscus sp. (13%) | | | % | B | NR | Alameda; CA | u | 13 |
| Dietary Composition | Jerusalem crickets (1%), unident. reptiles (1%), unident. birds (1%), rabbits (5%), California ground squirrel (62%), pocket mice (5%), western harvest mice (10%), Peromyscus sp. (11%) | | | % | B | NR | Alameda; CA | v | 13 |
| Dietary Composition | Jerusalem crickets (20%), unident. insects (4%), unident. birds (10%), desert cottontail (1%), rabbits (5%), California ground squirrel (27%), pocket mice (13%), western harvest mice (9%), Peromyscus sp. (9%), unident. cricetid rodents (5%) | | | % | B | NR | Alameda; CA | w | 13 |
| Dietary Composition | unident. vegetation (4%), California ground squirrel (83%), California pocket mice (2%), Peromyscus sp. (5%), unident. cricetid rodents (5%) | | | % | B | NR | Alameda; CA | x | 13 |
| Dietary Composition | Review | | | | NR | NR | CA | y | 14 |
| Dietary Composition | human derived food items (12.2%), ground squirrel (67.8%), pocket gopher (3.9%), unident. rodent (5.0%), leporid (5.0%), bird (12.2%), insect (23.3%), other (2.2%) | | | % | NR | NR | Kern; CA | z | 15 |
| Dietary Composition | Dipodomys ingens (17%), Dipodomys sp. (14%), D. heermanni (10%), Unident. rodents (6%), Oedaleonotus enigma (66%), Pocalta ursinus (5%), Coniontis sp. (1%), Stenopelmatus (9%), grass (74%) | | | % | NR | NR | San Benito; CA | aa | 16 |

Exposure Factors for Kit Fox (*Vulpes macrotis*)

| Endpoint Type | Endpoint Value | Error | Range | Units | Sex | Life Stage | Location | Note | Reference |
|---------------------|----------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------------------------|------|-----------|
| Dietary Composition | see figure | | | | NR | NR | Kern; CA | ab | 17 |
| Food Ingestion Rate | 115 | | | g/d | B | Adult | Lab | ac | 18 |
| Food Ingestion Rate | 101 | | | g/d | B | Adult | Lab | ad | 18 |
| Food Ingestion Rate | 175 | | 108-348 (male); 56-292 (female) | g/day | B | Adult | Lab | ae | 5 |
| Growth Rate | | | 0.75-1 | lb/month | B | Pup | Kern; CA | af | 3 |
| Home Range | | | 1-2 | mi2 | B | Adult | Kern; CA | ag | 3 |
| Home Range | 1.61 | 0.20 SE | | km2 | B | Adult | Kern; CA | ah | 19 |
| Home Range | 5.82 | 0.45 SE | | km2 | B | Adult | Kern; CA | ai | 19 |
| Home Range | 6.13 | 0.45 SE | 1.69-11.18 | km2 | B | Adult | Kern; CA | aj | 19 |
| Home Range | 200 | | | ha | B | Adult | Kern; CA | ak | 4 |
| Home Range | 11.6 | 0.9 SE | | km2 | B | Adult | San Luis Obispo; CA | al | 8 |
| Home Range | 1.9 | 1.2 SD | | km2 | F | Adult | UT | am | 20 |
| Home Range | 1.4 | 0.7 SD | | km2 | F | Adult | UT | an | 20 |
| Home Range | 9.8 | 0.1.4 SE | | km2 | F | Adult | AZ | ao | 21 |
| Home Range | 4.2 | 1.5 SD | | km2 | M | Adult | UT | ap | 20 |
| Home Range | 1.9 | 1.1 SD | | km2 | M | Adult | UT | aq | 20 |
| Home Range | 12.3 | 1.0 SE | | km2 | M | Adult | AZ | ar | 21 |
| Home Range | 4.3 | | | km2 | B | Both Adult and Juv. | Kern; CA | as | 22 |
| Longevity | 5+ | | 4-7 | yr | B | Adult | UT | at | 7 |
| Longevity | 2.4 | 0.7 SE | | mo | B | Adult | Kern; CA | au | 4 |
| Longevity | 10.2 | 0.8 SE | 8-14 | mo | B | Adult | Kern; CA | av | 4 |
| Metabolic Rate | 0.0034 | | | watts/g | B | Adult | Lab | aw | 2 |
| Metabolic Rate | 0.0028 | | | watts/g | B | Adult | Lab | ax | 2 |
| Population Density | 1 | | | fox/2.8 mi2 | B | Adult | Kern; CA | ay | 14 |
| Population Density | 1 | | | adult/12.9 km^2 | B | Adult | UT | az | 6 |
| Population Density | 4 | | 1-5 | pairs/207 km^2 | B | Adult | UT | ba | 6 |
| Population Density | | | 470.9-1035.9 | ha/fox | B | Adult | UT | bb | 7 |
| Population Density | | | 2-2.8 | #/260 ha | B | Adult | Kern; CA | bc | 1 |
| Population Density | | | 1 | den/28 ha - 1 den/37 ha | B | Adult | Kern; CA | bd | 1 |
| Population Density | | | 1 | fox /4.1 km2 - 1 fox / 6.5 km2 | B | Adult | San Luis Obispo; CA | be | 8 |
| Population Density | 3.6 | | | pairs/square mile | B | Adult | UT | bf | 23 |
| Population Density | see citation | | | | B | Both Adult and Juv. | Kern; San Luis Obispo; CA | bg | 24 |
| Population Density | review | | | #/km2 | NR | NR | | bh | 25 |
| Survival/ Mortality | 67 | | | % | B | Adult | Alameda; CA | bi | 13 |
| Survival/ Mortality | | | 0.27-0.86 | | F | Adult | Kern; CA | bj | 26 |
| Survival/ Mortality | | | 0.55-0.57 | | M | Adult | Kern; CA | bk | 26 |
| Survival/ Mortality | 61.0 | 5.2 SE | 30.0-84.0 | % | NR | Adult | Kern; CA | bl | 17 |
| Survival/ Mortality | review | | | | NR | Adult | | bm | 25 |

| Endpoint Type | Endpoint Value | Error | Range | Units | Sex | Life Stage | Location | Note | Reference |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------|----------|-------|-----|---------------------|-------------|------|-----------|
| Survival/ Mortality | | | 0.4-.63 | | B | Both Adult and Juv. | Kern; CA | bn | 26 |
| Survival/ Mortality | 80 | | | % | B | Juvenile | Alameda; CA | bo | 13 |
| Survival/ Mortality | 79.8 | 4.5 SE | 50.0-100 | % | NR | Juvenile | Kern; CA | bp | 17 |
| Time of Hatching or Parturition | February-March | | | | F | Adult | Kern; CA | bq | 3 |
| Time of Hatching or Parturition | early February | | | | F | Adult | Kern; CA | br | 1 |
| Time of Hatching or Parturition | March to early April | | | | F | Adult | UT | bs | 23 |
| Time of Mating/ Laying | December | | | | B | Adult | Kern; CA | bt | 1 |
| Time of Mating/ Laying | January | | | | B | Adult | Kern; CA | bu | 4 |
| Time of Migration or Dispersal | late summer | | | | B | Juvenile | UT | bv | 7 |

Notes

- a N=NR; Elk Hills Naval and Buena Vista Petroleum Reserves
- b mean annual body weight; N=20 foxes
- c range of monthly averages (Jan.-Dec.); N=1-5 foxes/month; Buena Vista Valley
- d N=13 foxes; Rand Open Area and Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area
- e average body weight; N=6; Tooele County, west. UT
- f range of monthly averages (Jan.-Dec.); N=1-4 foxes/month; Buena Vista Valley
- g N=15 foxes; Rand Open Area and Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area
- h mean body weight; N=10; all; Tooele County, west. UT
- i N=5 litters; Buena Vista Valley
- j mean litter size; N=8 litters
- k range of mean litter sizes over four years; N=2-6 litters/year; western Utah
- l mean litter size; N=NR; Elk Hills Naval and Buena Vista Petroleum Reserves
- m N=4 foxes; Carrizo Plain Natural Area; 4 of 7 observed females produced pups
- n N=11 litters; spring; Tooele County, west. UT
- o proportion of total prey items identified in stomach contents; N=18 stomachs
- p percentage occurrence of prey types in feces; N=834 feces; Carrizo Plain Natural Area; relative rankings of prey types did not change seasonally
- q relative composition of prey remains in scat; N=25 scats; Kesterson National Wildlife Refuge
- r frequency of occurrence of prey items found in scat; N=649 scats; year round; Camp Roberts
- s frequency of occurrence of food items found in scat; N=1430 scats; year round; Naval Petroleum Reserve #1
- t frequency of occurrence in scat analyses; N=52 scat groups; various plant species also found in most scat
- u percent volume of total prey items in scat; N=10 scats; winter; Bethany Reservoir
- v percent volume of total prey items in scat; N=10 scats; spring; Bethany Reservoir
- w percent volume of total prey items in scat; N=10 scats; fall; Bethany Reservoir
- x percent volume of total prey items in scat; N=10 scats; summer; Bethany Reservoir
- y N=NR
- z frequency of occurrence in scats; N=180 scats; fall; Bakersfield, CA
- aa Percent occurrence in scats; N=74 scats (1 den); July; 6 mi. east of Panoche
- ab bar graph showing use of leporids, rodents, insects and other food items over 6 years; N=449 scat samples; Naval Petroleum Reserves in California
- ac estimated daily food intake based on a desert kangaroo diet (7.38 kJ/g wet wt) and calculated daily energy requirements (see Golightly, 1981); N=NR; summer
- ad estimated daily food intake based on a desert kangaroo diet (7.38 kJ/g wet wt) and calculated daily energy requirements (see Golightly, 1981); N=NR; winter
- ae average daily consumption in captivity; N=NR; Tooele County, west. UT; Food was provided ad libidum.
- af growth rate observed in repeatedly trapped pups; N=NR; Buena Vista Valley; adult weight reached at 5 months of age
- ag approximate range of individual foxes; N=12 foxes; Buena Vista Valley
- ah denning range, based on observations of marked individuals at dens; N=26; south San Joaquin Valley
- ai nocturnal range, based on nocturnal locations of marked individuals; N=26; south San Joaquin Valley
- aj based on all observations of marked individuals

| | |
|----|---|
| ak | denning range (area enclosing occupied den sites); N=16 foxes; Rand Open Area and Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area |
| al | individual home range size; N=21 foxes; Carrizo Plain Natural Area |
| am | calculated with Minimum Area Method; N=4; winter; Pine Valley |
| an | calculated with Minimum Area Method; N=4; summer; Pine Valley |
| ao | home range as calculated with the grid-cell method; N=3 foxes; 7.5 km NW Tonopah |
| ap | calculated with Minimum Area Method; N=5; winter; Pine Valley |
| aq | calculated with Minimum Area Method; N=4; summer; Pine Valley |
| ar | home range as calculated with the grid-cell method; N=4 foxes; 7.5 km NW Tonopah |
| as | average home range; N=NR; Naval Petroleum Reserves in California, Bakersfield |
| at | average maximum age attained; N=NR; western Utah |
| au | measured from time of capture to time of last capture or radio-telemetry location; N=13 foxes; Rand Open Area and Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area; data for study area with minimal human disturbance |
| av | measured from time of capture to time of last capture or radio-telemetry location; N=6 foxes; Rand Open Area and Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area; data for area with high human disturbance |
| aw | summer basal metabolic rate; N=12 foxes; summer; see paper for figures showing oxygen consumption rates at various ambient temperatures |
| ax | winter basal metabolic rate; N=12 foxes; winter; see paper for figures showing oxygen consumption rates at various ambient temperatures |
| ay | estimated average density based on censuses and literature values; N=108 dens |
| az | mean animal density in study area; N=NR |
| ba | mean number of pairs on study area; N=NR |
| bb | population density on 10,359.9 ha study area; N=foxes 9-22; western Utah |
| bc | adult density; N=361 foxes; Elk Hills Naval and Buena Vista Petroleum Reserves |
| bd | denning density; N=1010 dens; Elk Hills Naval and Buena Vista Petroleum Reserves |
| be | minimum densities of foxes in study area over three years; N=15-22 foxes/year; Carrizo Plain Natural Area |
| bf | N=9 individuals; spring; Tooele County, west. UT, elev. 4300-4800 ft |
| bg | figures showing seasonal abundance and density counts; N=1,239 surveys (dry season); 883 surveys (wet season) |
| bh | N=NR; reported values range from 0.14-1.57 #/km2 |
| bi | N=3 foxes; Bethany Reservoir |
| bj | Survival rates of radiocollared foxes for 1990, 1991; N=32 foxes; all; extreme southern San Joaquin Valley |
| bk | Survival rates of radiocollared foxes for 1990, 1991; N=25 foxes; all; extreme southern San Joaquin Valley |
| bl | mean annual mortality rate over 13 years; N=306 foxes; Naval Petroleum Reserves in California |
| bm | N=NR; survival rates range from 0.35 - 0.75 |
| bn | Survival rates of radiocollared foxes for 1990, 1991; N=57 foxes; all; extreme southern San Joaquin Valley; highest mortality observed in fall (Sept.-Dec.); predation accounted for 64% of all mortality |
| bo | N=5 foxes; Bethany Reservoir |
| bp | mean annual mortality rate over 13 years; N=177 foxes; Naval Petroleum Reserves in California |
| bq | N=NR; Buena Vista Valley |
| br | N=NR; Elk Hills Naval and Buena Vista Petroleum Reserves |
| bs | time of whelping; N=NR; spring; Tooele County, west. UT, elev. 4300-4800 ft |
| bt | time of breeding; N=NR; Elk Hills Naval and Buena Vista Petroleum Reserves |
| bu | based on reproductive condition of adults at time of capture; N=NR; Rand Open Area and Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area |
| bv | time of juvenile dispersal from natal territory; N=NR; western Utah |

References

1

O'Farrell, T.P. 1984. Conservation of the endangered San Joaquin kit fox, *Vulpes macrotis mutica*, on the Naval Petroleum Reserves, California. *Acta Zool. Fenn.* 172:207-208.

2

Golightly, Richard T. and Robert D. Ohmart. 1983. Metabolism and body temperature of two desert canids: Coyotes and kit foxes. *J. Mammal.* 64:624 - 635.

3

Morrell, Stephen. 1972. Life history of the San Joaquin kit fox. *Calif. Fish Game.* 58(3):162-174.

4

O'Farrell, Thomas P. and Larry Gilbertson. 1986. Ecology of the desert kit fox, *Vulpes macrotis arsipus*, in the Mojave Desert of southern California. *Bull. South. Calif. Acad. Sci.* 85(1):1-15.

5

Egoscue, Harold J. 1962. Ecology and life history of the kit fox in Tooele County, Utah. *Ecology.* 43(3):481-497.

6

Smith, Dwight G. 1978. Notes on ecology and food of the Kit fox in Central Utah. *Sociobiology.* 3(2):96 - 98.

7

Egoscue, Harold J. 1975. Population dynamics of the kit fox in western Utah. *Bull. South. Calif. Acad. Sci.* 74(3):122-127.

8

White, Patrick J. and Katherine Ralls. 1993. Reproduction and spacing patterns of kit foxes relative to changing prey availability. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 57(4):861-867.

- 9 White, Patrick J., Callie A. Vanderbilt White and Katherine Ralls. 1996. Functional and numerical responses of kit foxes to a short-term decline in mammalian prey. *J. Mammal.* 77(2):370-376.
- 10 Paveglio, Fred L and Steven D. Clifton. Oct. 1988. Selenium accumulation and ecology of the San Joaquin kit fox in the Kesterson National Wildlife Refuge Area. Los Banos, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, San Luis Wildlife Refuge.
- 11 Logan, Christopher G., William H. Berry, William G. Standley and Thomas T. Kato. Sep. 1992. Prey abundance and food habits of San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes velox macrotis*) at Camp Roberts Army National Guard Training Site, California. Tupman, CA: EG and G Energy Measurements, Inc., Operations Report No. 10617-2158. 21 p.
- 12 Scrivner, Jerry H., Thomas P. O'Farrell and Thomas T. Kato. Jun. 1987. Diet of the San Joaquin kit fox, *Vulpes macrotis mutica*, on Naval Petroleum Reserve #1, Kern County, California, 1980-1984. Tupman, CA: EG&G Energy Measurements, EGG 10282-2168. 26 p.
- 13 Orloff, Susan, Frank Hall and Linda Spiegel. 1986. Distribution and habitat requirements of the San Joaquin kit fox in the northern extreme of their range. *Trans. West. Sect. Wildl. Soc.* 22:60-70.
- 14 Laughrin, Lyndal. 1970. San Joaquin kit fox: its distribution and abundance. Sacramento; CA: California Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife Management Branch Administrative Report. No. 70-2 ed. 20 p.
- 15 Cypher, Brian L. and Gregory D. Warrick. 1993. Use of human-derived food items by urban kit foxes. *Trans. West. Sect. Wildl. Soc.* 29:34-37.
- 16 Hawbecker, Albert C. 1943. Food of the San Joaquin kit fox. *J. Mammal.* 24(4):499.
- 17 Cypher, Brian L. and Kenneth A. Spencer. 1998. Competitive interactions between coyotes and San Joaquin kit foxes. *J. Mammal.* 79(1):204-214.
- 18 Golightly, Richard T. and Robert D. Ohmart. 1984. Water economy of two desert canids: Coyote and kit fox. *J. Mammal.* 65(1):51-58.
- 19 Spiegel, Linda K. and Michael Bradbury. 1992. Home range characteristics of the San Joaquin kit fox in western Kern County, California. *Trans. West. Sect. Wildl. Soc.* 28:83-92.
- 20 Daneke, Dennis, Melvin Sunquist and Stephen Berwick. 1984. Notes on kit fox biology in Utah. *Southwest. Nat.* 29(3):361-362.
- 21 Zoellick, Bruce W. and Norman S. Smith. 1992. Size and spatial organization of home ranges of kit foxes in Arizona. *J. Mammal.* 73(1):83-88.
- 22 Koopman, Marni E., Jerry H. Scrivner and Thomas T. Kato. 1998. Patterns of den use by San Joaquin kit foxes. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 62:373-379.
- 23 Egoscue, Harold J. 1956. Preliminary studies of the kit fox in Utah. *J. Mammal.* 37(3):351-357.
- 24 Ralls, Katherine and L. Lee Eberhardt. 1997. Assessment of abundance of San Joaquin kit foxes by spotlight surveys. *J. Mammal.* 78(1):65-73.
- 25 White, P.J. and Robert A. Garrott. 1997. Factors regulating kit fox populations. *Can. J. Zool.* 75:1982-1988.
- 26 Disney, Michele and Linda K. Spiegel. 1992. Sources and rates of San Joaquin kit fox mortality in western Kern County, California. *Trans. West. Sect. Wildl. Soc.* 28:73-82.

*Cal/EPA, OEHHA and the University of California Regents are not responsible for damages of any kind resulting from the use of or reliance on information in this report. Users are encouraged to consult the original data. Updated: February 1999.